

### EXPLANATION

GEOLOGY GENERALIZED FROM WEBER AND OTHERS (1978)

#### CORRELATION OF MAP UNITS

UNCONSOLIDATED DEPOSITS

IGNEOUS ROCKS

SEDIMENTARY ROCKS

METAMORPHIC ROCKS

#### DESCRIPTION OF MAP UNITS

UNCONSOLIDATED DEPOSITS

SEDIMENTARY ROCKS

IGNEOUS ROCKS

METAMORPHIC ROCKS

#### GEOLOGIC SYMBOLS

CONTACT, APPROXIMATELY LOCATED

FAULT OR PROBABLE FAULT, DOTTED WHERE CONCEALED

#### GEOCHEMICAL SYMBOLS


SAMPLE SITE--Represents background values at sites where there are no anomalous values

ANOMALOUS VALUES--Explained on histograms

▲ BISMUTH

◈ ANTIMONY

● SILVER



#### DISCUSSION

This map shows the distribution and abundance of bismuth, antimony, and silver in 546 heavy-mineral concentrate samples collected in the Big Delta quadrangle in 1975 and 1977. This sampling was a part of geochemical studies made for the Alaska Mineral Resource Assessment Program. The heavy-mineral concentrates were separated from stream sediments collected in the active channels of streams draining areas ranging from approximately 10 to 25 km<sup>2</sup>. The areas within the quadrangle that show a low density of sample sites, particularly along the major northeast-trending fault and in the northwestern part of the quadrangle, were areas where dense brush and trees prevented helicopter landings. Areas in the southwestern and south-central parts of the quadrangle were not sampled because they are covered by thick unconsolidated deposits of Quaternary material, which limits effective geochemical sampling within the scope of the present geochemical studies.

The heavy-mineral concentrates were panned in the field to remove most of the low-density minerals. The panned samples were sieved through a 20-mesh (0.8 mm) screen in the laboratory and the -20 mesh fraction was further separated with bromoform (specific gravity, 2.86) to remove the remaining low-density mineral grains. Magnetite and other strongly magnetic heavy minerals were removed from the heavy-mineral fraction by the use of a hand magnet. The remaining heavy minerals were passed through a Frantz Isodynamic Separator and analyzed by semiquantitative emission spectrography (Grimes and Marranzino, 1968). Map plots and histograms were produced from the analytical results. The range of anomalous values for each element was determined from the histograms and was subdivided into two or more plotting intervals represented by the symbols shown on the map and histograms.

Complete analytical data for all of the sample sites shown on this map are available in a U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report by R. M. O'Leary and others (1978).

The use of trade names is for descriptive purposes only and does not constitute endorsement of these products by the U.S. Geological Survey.

#### REFERENCES CITED


Grimes, D. J., and Marranzino, A. P., 1968, Direct-current arc and alternating-current spark emission spectrographic field methods for the semiquantitative analysis of geologic materials: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 591, 6 p.

O'Leary, R. M., Cooley, E. F., Day, G. W., Hessin, T. D., McDougal, C. M., and McDaniel, Steven, 1978, Spectrographic and chemical analyses of geochemical samples from the Big Delta quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 78-571, 127 p.

Weber, F. R., Foster, H. D., Keith, T. E. C., and Dusel-Bacon, Cynthia, 1978, Preliminary geologic map of the Big Delta quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 78-529A.

GEOCHEMICAL MAP SHOWING THE DISTRIBUTION AND ABUNDANCE OF BISMUTH, ANTIMONY, AND SILVER IN THE NONMAGNETIC HEAVY-MINERAL CONCENTRATE SAMPLES IN THE BIG DELTA QUADRANGLE, ALASKA

BY T. D. HESSIN, E. F. COOLEY, AND CYNTHIA DUSEL-BACON



BACKGROUND INFORMATION RELATING TO THIS MAP IS PUBLISHED AS U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY CIRCULAR 783 AVAILABLE FREE OF CHARGE FROM THE U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, RESTON, VA. 22092